

1:11cv69

Defendants

futility, or prejudice to the opposing party, a court should grant a party leave to amend. Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182, 83 S. Ct. 227 (1962); Equal Rights Center v. Niles Bolton Assocs., 602 F.3d 597. The proposed amendment to Defendants' Answer would not result in undue delay or prejudice to Plaintiff, and the amendment is not futile or made in bad faith. Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants' Motion to Amend Answer to Complaint [# 45].

II. Conclusion

The Court **GRANTS** Defendants' Motion to Amend Answer to Complaint [# 45]. Defendants shall file their Second Amended Answer by December 7, 2011. The Court **DENIES as moot** the Motion to Dismiss Amended Counterclaims [# 26], Motion to Strike Answer [# 32], and Motion to Dismiss Third Party Claims [# 36]. Plaintiff shall have twenty (20) days from the filing of the Second Amended Answer to answer or otherwise respond to any counterclaims or third-party claims asserted.

Signed: November 22, 2011



Dennis L. Howell
United States Magistrate Judge

